

γ +jet calibration in CMS

The event selection and errors of calibration

V.Konopliannikov

For improvement of energy balance between jet and photon events selection is performed for a set of variables:

ET_jet2 – transverse energy of second leading jet

phi_gam_jet – angle between gamma and jet

Et_isol_gam – the sum of ET cells in $R=0.7$ around gamma

Et_isol_jet – the sum of ET cells in $0.7 < R < 1$ around jet

Et_out – the sum of ET cells that are not occupied neither by gamma nor by jet

These selections can give some systematical uncertainties which need to be evalutaed.

Investigations were made with:

**PYTHIA6.156, CMSJET4.703, CMSIM121
ORCA_4_5_1**

Samples for analysis:

Events with direct photons from Spring01 production

Parameter	1	2	3	4
Ptmin(g)	20	40	100	200
Ptmax(γ)	40	100	200	300
Nevents	###	###	###	###

Some PYTHIA parameters

Parameter	Value
Process	lsub=14 f+f \rightarrow g+ γ lsub=29 f+g \rightarrow f+ γ
Multiple interactions	Mstp(82)=4
Pthardmin	Ptmin(γ)/2
Pthardmax	2*PTmax(g)

Analysis levels:

PARTICLE – CMSJET without field and smearing
FIELD – CMSJET with field and smearing
ORCA – CMSIM121+ORCA_4_5_1

Corrections to PT of jet.

Uncertainties:

D_1: limitations on some parameters lead to the effect that relative number of events with $E_{tjet} > E_{tgamma}$ or $E_{tjet} < E_{tgamma}$ can be increased.

The energy disbalance ($F = P_{Tgamma} - P_{Tjet}$) is shifted.

D_2: depends on correlation coefficient between P_{Tgamma} and P_{Tjet} .

$$\langle P_{Tjet} \rangle (P_{Tgamma}) = k_1 * P_{Tgamma}, \quad 0 < k_1 < 1$$

$$\langle P_{Tgamma} \rangle (P_{Tjet}) = k_2 * P_{Tjet}, \quad k_2 = k_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{A) } \langle F \rangle (P_{Tgamma}) &= P_{Tgamma} - \langle P_{Tjet} \rangle = \\ &= (1 - k_1) * P_{Tgamma} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{B) } \langle F \rangle (P_{Tjet}) &= \langle P_{Tgamma} \rangle - P_{Tjet} = \\ &= (k_2 - 1) * P_{Tjet} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

After cuts : $\Delta |\langle F \rangle| = |\langle F \rangle + \Delta \langle F \rangle| - |\langle F \rangle|$

$$\text{A) } \Delta |\langle F \rangle| = \Delta \langle F \rangle \quad \text{Using } |\langle F \rangle| \text{ it is impossible to}$$

$$\text{B) } \Delta |\langle F \rangle| = -\Delta \langle F \rangle \quad \text{evaluate systematical uncertainties}$$

Benchmark sample should be used for determination D_1, D_2, σ (statistical uncertainty).

$$P_{Tjet_corr} = P_{Tjet}(1 + D_1 + D_2 + \sigma)$$

Uncertainties for 50% signal suppression.

Correction D_1 (%)

	Particle	Field	SMEAR	ORCA
No cuts	0	0	0	0
Et_jet2/ETgam	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2
180_phi_gam_jet	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.7
Etisol_gam/Etgam	-1.7	-1.8	-1.7	-0.9
Etisol_jet/Etjet	4.7	4.1	4.5	4.8
Etout/Etgam	1.4	1.9	1.6	0.5
Etmis/Etgam	-0.6	0.6	0	2.6

The largest influence is from isolation of jet.

Correction D_2(%)

	Particle	Field	SMEAR	ORCA
No cuts	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
Et_jet2/ETgam	3.6	3.6	3.8	4.3
180_phi_gam_jet	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.8
Etisol_gam/Etgam	5.3	5.2	6.2	5.5
Etisol_jet/Etjet	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.6
Etout/Etgam	3.2	3.6	3.4	0.5
Etmis/Etgam	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.4

The lowest influence is from suppression of second jet and Etout.

Summary

Results obtained are the same on PARTICLE, CMSIM and ORCA level.

In nearest future the same investigation will be performed with background and low luminosity pile-up.